

REMARKS

Favorable reconsideration of this application, in light of the preceding amendments and following remarks, is respectfully requested. Applicants respectfully request that this Amendment be entered on the record because the claims are amended to capture allowable subject and to overcome the claim objection and rejections based on the Examiner's comments.

Claims 92-101 are pending in this application. Claims 92 and 94 are amended. Claims 102-107 are cancelled.

Claim Objections

Claims 92-101 are objected to because of informalities. Claims 92 is amended to recite "establishing" instead of "so to establish" with "establishing" as suggested by the Examiner.

Therefore, Applicants respectfully request that the objection to claim 92, and claims 93-101 depending therefrom, be withdrawn.

Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph

Claims 94 and 105 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the Applicants regard as the invention. In particular, the Examiner asserts that the phrase "such as" renders the claims indefinite.

Claim 94 is amended to delete the phrase "such as flexprint", and claim 105 is canceled.

Therefore, Applicants respectfully request that the rejections to claim 94 and 105 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph be withdrawn.

Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claims 102-107 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as anticipated by Van Halteren et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,931,140). Applicants respectfully note that claims 102-107 have been cancelled thereby rendering this rejection moot.

Allowable Subject Matter

Applicants note with appreciation the Examiner's indication that claims 92-101 contain allowable subject matter. Because only claims 92-101 remain pending in the application, and the claim objections and clarity rejections of these claims are overcome by the preceding amendments and above remarks, Applicants respectfully submit that this application is in condition for formal allowance.

CONCLUSION

Accordingly, in view of the above amendments and remarks, reconsideration of the objections and rejections and allowance of each of the pending claims of the present application is earnestly solicited.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.17 and 1.136(a), Applicant(s) hereby petition(s) for a one (1) month extension of time for filing a reply to the outstanding Office Action and submit the

required \$120 extension fee herewith.

Should there be any outstanding matters that need to be resolved in the present application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact John A. Castellano at the telephone number of the undersigned below.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 08-0750 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 or under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

HARNES, DICKY, & PIERCE, P.L.C.

By

John A. Castellano, Reg. No. 35,094

P.O. Box 8910
Reston, Virginia 20195
(703) 668-8000


JAC/SAE/ame